



European Regional Development Fund

# **BIO-CIRC** Project

### Bio(and)Circular Insulation for Resourceful **C**onstruction

# **Environmental Assessment Report**

Life Cycle Analysis of BIO-CIRC prototypes for UK & French contexts

# 30<sup>th</sup> June 2022 – Final Version









## Abstract of the project

The BIO-CIRC Project, Bio(and)Circular Insulation for Resourceful Construction, intends to tackle the building sector's high carbon, energy and resources dependencies while taking advantage of an unused waste resource: polyester from waste bedding.

The project aims to conceive, develop and deploy 3 prototypes of innovative low-carbon thermal insulation material made from polyester and combined with natural fibres. It intends to promote the emergence of a bespoke waste polyester valorisation industry and the use of virtuous Natural and Recycled Fibre Insulation products.

This project is carried out by a cross-channel partnership of 4 key and complementary links in the building sector's value chain:

- Nomadéis (lead partner)
- Alliance for Sustainable Building Products
- Eden Renewable Innovations
- Back to Earth

Planned over 2 years, the BIO-CIRC project receives funding from the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF). The ERDF's contribution amounts to  $\leq$ 399,600 for a total budget of  $\leq$ 499,500.







Nomadéis Le Havre 120, boulevard Amiral Mouchez • 76600 Le Havre • France Phone: +33 (0)1 45 24 31 44 www.nomadeis.com



#### **The Alliance for Sustainable Building Products** The Foundry, 5 Baldwin Terrace • London N1 7RU • United Kingdom Phone: +44 (0) 20 7704 3501 <u>https://asbp.org.uk</u>



**Eden Renewable Innovations Limited** Soulands Gate, Soulby, Penrith • Cumbria, CA11 0JF • United Kingdom Phone: +44 (0) 1768 486285 <u>https://www.thermafleece.com</u>

#### backtoearth

Back To Earth Limited 22 Tuns Lane, Silverton • Exeter, EX5 4HY • United Kingdom Phone: +44 (0) 1392 861763 https://www.backtoearth.co.uk/

#### Copyrights

The text of this publication may be reproduced whole or in parts for educational and nonmonetary purposes without prior consent of the copyright holder, to the condition that the source is mentioned. The BIO-CIRC project partners would be grateful to receive a copy of all the publications that have used the present as a source material. The present publication may not be reproduced, transmitted or used in any manner whatsoever for commercial uses without the prior written permission of the authors.



### Table of contents

1 Dat	asets	5
1.1	rcPET	Erreur ! Signet non défini.
1.2	Recycled polyester and sheep's wool	5
1.3	Bi-component	6
2 Pro	otype composition	7
3 Met	hodology	
3.1	Scope	
3.2	Declared unit and reference service life	
3.3	System boundary	
3.4	Data sources, quality and allocation	9
4 Res	ults	
4.1	Results for products manufactured in the UK	
4.2	Results for products manufactured in France	
5 Con	nparison with conventional products	14
Conclusi	on	









### **Executive summary**

ASBP worked with Renuables Ltd to carry out a Life Cycle Analysis (LCA) of the three prototypes manufactured during the project. The three prototypes were made of varying amounts of:

- 1) Re-fiberised polyester from waste bedding (referred to as rcPET).
- 2) Recycled polyester (rPET).
- 3) Sheep's wool.
- 4) Bi-component polyester (bi-co).

This report summarises the LCA results and provides a comparison with conventional insulation materials – glass wool and stone wool.

The results show that all prototypes (with manufacturing scenarios in both the UK and France) could provide a significant reduction in their environmental impact with an average reduction of 82.6% vs glass wool and 86.9% vs stone wool.

### 1 Datasets

The datasets used for the life cycle assessment modelling of the three prototypes are based on information provided by Renuables Ltd and readily available Environmental Product Declaration (EPD) data. An additional dataset was created for the fibres extracted from waste bedding (referred to as rcPET) using data and assumptions provided by the prototype manufacturer.

#### 1.1 rcPET

The re-fiberised polyester from waste bedding (rcPET) data was provided by ERI based on manufacturing information.

It was assumed that the amount of energy to mix the fibres together to create 1 kg or 1 m<sup>2</sup> of prototype was 0.06 kWh more than the amount of energy required for manufacturing equivalent products. This assumption was based on personal communication with the manufacturer stating that the ragging process utilised a card puller which required 60kWh per tonne of material throughout.

In France, additional energy has been accounted for the additional requirement to clean the fibres at 65°C. An additional 0.68 kWh/kg was therefore added to the processing energy for the prototypes – FR1, FR2 and FR3.

#### 1.2 Recycled polyester and sheep's wool

Data from the following EPD were used for the recycled polyester (rPET) and sheep's wool content - EPD S-P-04468<sup>1</sup> and S-P-04469<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Data (environdec.com)

<sup>2</sup> Data (environdec.com)









The datasets were adapted to include French grid electricity mix data for the prototypes made in France to take into consideration the difference in electricity generation methods for the UK and France.

#### 1.3 Bi-component

Bi-component (bi-co) is a high melting point PET fibre with a coating of a softer quicker melting PET fibre. The dataset was modelled by A. Norton in 2008.





nomadéis ASBP The Alliance for Sustainable Building Products



### 2 Prototype composition

The innovative prototypes studied were made out of a combination of:

- 1) Re-fiberised polyester from waste bedding (referred to as rcPET).
- 2) Recycled polyester (rPET).
- 3) Sheep's wool.
- 4) Bi-component polyester (bi-co).

Analysis was conducted for the 3 prototypes manufactured and distributed in UK, and manufactured and distributed in France. Table 1 provides an overview of the prototypes that were evaluated:

Prototype	Composition	Manufact- ured in	Transport (A2)	Transport to site (A4)	Energy (A3)
	65% rcPET	UK	50 km	300 km	UK grid
UK1	25% rPET				electricity mix
	10% bi-Co				
	25% rcPET	UK	50 km	300 km	
UK2	65% wool				
	10% bi-Co				
	39% rcPET	UK	50 km	300 km	
UK3	51% wool				
	10% bi-Co				
	65% rcPET	France	150 km	300 km	France grid
FR1	25% rPET				electricity mix
	10% bi-Co				+ 0.68 kWh to
	25% rcPET	France	150 km	300 km	account for
FR2	65% wool				additional
	10% bi-Co				washing at
	39% rcPET	France	150 km		65°C
FR3	51% wool				
	10% bi-Co				

Table 1: Description of the 3 prototypes modelled each in France and in UK





nomadéis ASBP The Alliance for Sustainable Building Product



# 3 Methodology

### 3.1 Scope

The results were modelled following ISO14040, ISO14044, ISO14025 and EN 15804+A2:2019 standards. The scope of the study cover modules A1 to A3, A4 – see Figure 1 below:

Proc	luct		Const	ruction	Use	stage	e (fabr	ic)		End o	of life			Benefits and loads beyond the system boundary
A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Raw materials supply	Transport to factory	Manufacturing	Transport to site	Construction – installation	Use	Maintenance	Repair	Replacement	Refurbishment	Deconstruction/demolit ion	Transport	Waste processing	Disposal	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential
Х	Х	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Figure 1 – Modules covered by the study in accordance with EN 15804+A2:2019<sup>3</sup>

### 3.2 Declared unit and reference service life

The results are expressed both for:

- 1 kg of product.
- $1 \text{ m}^2$  of insulation product with an R =  $1 \text{ m}^2$ K/W plus packaging.

The prototypes are assumed to have the same service life as the building in which they are installed. This follows recommendations from EPD S-P-04468<sup>4</sup> and S-P-04469<sup>5</sup>.

### 3.3 System boundary

Modules A1 to A3 include the impact of the raw materials. The data for the raw materials were sourced by Renuables from EPD previously carried out for those products:

- 1) Recycled polyester (rPET) EPD reference S-P-04469 verified by EPD international.
- 2) Sheep's wool EPD reference S-P-04468 verified by EPD international.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> <u>PN514-BRE-EN-15804-PCR.pdf (greenbooklive.com)</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Data (environdec.com)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> <u>Data (environdec.com)</u>



Module A4: The values for A4 were based on assumptions created by the BIO-CIRC team and it was assumed that the distances travelled in France would be twice as long as in the UK due to the larger size of the country.

Module C1 to C4: the values assumed for those modules are the same as those used for EPD S-P-04468 and S-P-04469.

#### 3.4 Data sources, quality and allocation

Data for rPET and sheep's wool were taken from EPD S-P-04468 and S-P-04469. LCA data was calculated using Ecoinvent 3.7 background data and the characterization factors stated in EN 15804+A2:2019. Medium voltage Ecoinvent entries for standard GB and FR grid energy mix were used to deal with transmission losses.

Bi-co was modelled using Norton AJ, 2008, The Life Cycle Assessment and Moisture Sorption Characteristics of Natural Fibre Thermal Insulation Materials, PhD Bangor University.





EUROPEAN UNION European Regional Development Fund



therma fleece, backtoearth

### 4 Results

This section provides results for the 3 prototypes modelled in two different countries expressed against the climate change indicators as stated in EN15804+A2:2019 for modules A1 to A3. Section 1.3.1 shows results for the prototypes made in UK and section 1.3.2 shows results for the prototypes made in France.

### 4.1 Results for products manufactured in the UK

Results in Table 2 below have been expressed in kgCO<sub>2</sub>eq for 1 kg of product:

		Prototype		
Impact category - Climate Change	Unit	UK1	UK2	UK3
Fossil	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	1.03E+00	1.05E+00	9.72E-01
Biogenic	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	7.57E-02	-1.09E+00	-8.51E-01
Land use and LU change	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	1.30E-03	6.47E-03	5.25E-03
Total	kg CO₂ eq	1.08E+00	-3.63E-02	1.09E-01

Table 2 – Results for 1 kg of prototypes manufactured in the UK



Figure 2 below shows the results of table 2 in a graphical representation.

Figure 2 - Results for 1 kg of prototypes expressed in kgCO\_2eq manufactured in the UK





Results in Table 3 below have been expressed in kgCO<sub>2</sub>eq for 1 m<sup>2</sup> of product with an R = 1 m<sup>2</sup>K/W.

		Prototype		
Impact category - Climate Change	Unit	UK1	UK2	UK3
Fossil	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	6.64E-01	8.46E-01	7.84E-01
Biogenic	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	4.84E-02	-8.71E-01	-6.81E-01
Land use and LU change	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	8.36E-04	5.19E-03	4.21E-03
Total	kg CO2 eq	6.96E-01	-2.82E-02	9.34E-02

Table 3 – Results for 1 m<sup>2</sup> of product with an R = 1 m<sup>2</sup>K/W manufactured in the UK

Figure 3 below shows the results of table 3 in a graphical representation. Note: the biogenic carbon presented is directly linked to the quantity of wool used.



Figure 3 - Results for 1 m<sup>2</sup> of product with an R = 1 m<sup>2</sup>K/W expressed in kgCO<sub>2</sub>eq manufactured in the UK

#### 4.2 Results for products manufactured in France

Results in Table 4 below have been expressed in kgCO<sub>2</sub>eq for 1 kg of product:

		Prototype (including duvet cleaning)			
Impact category Climate Change	Unit	FR1	FR2	FR3	
Fossil	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	9.61E-01	9.87E-01	7.27E-01	
Biogenic	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	7.71E-02	-1.09E+00	-6.80E-01	
Land use and LU change	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	1.16E-03	6.36E-03	4.11E-03	
Total	kg CO₂ eq	1.01E+00	-1.05E-01	3.83E-02	

Table 4 – Results for 1 kg of prototypes manufactured in France

Figure 4 below shows the results of table 4 in a graphical representation. Note: the biogenic carbon presented is directly linked to the quantity of wool used.





EUROPEAN UNION European Regional Development Fund

ASBP The Alliance for Sustainable Building Products





#### Figure 4 - Results for 1 kg of prototypes expressed in kgCO<sub>2</sub>eq manufactured in France

		Prototype (including duvet cleaning)				
Impact category Climate Change	Unit	FR1	FR2	FR3		
Fossil	kg CO₂ eq	6.15E-01	7.90E-01	7.27E-01		
Biogenic	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	4.94E-02	-8.70E-01	-6.80E-01		
Land use and LU change	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	7.46E-04	5.08E-03	4.11E-03		
Total	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	6.47E-01	-8.38E-02	3.83E-02		

Results in Table 5 below have been expressed in kgCO<sub>2</sub>eq for 1 m<sup>2</sup> of product with an R = 1 m<sup>2</sup>K/W.

Table 5 – Results for 1 m<sup>2</sup> of product with an R = 1 m<sup>2</sup>K/W manufactured in France

Figure 5 below shows the results of table 5 in a graphical representation. Note: the biogenic carbon presented is directly linked to the quantity of wool used.





Figure 5 - Results for 1 m<sup>2</sup> of product with an R = 1 m<sup>2</sup>K/W expressed in kgCO<sub>2</sub>eq manufactured in France





nomadéis ASBP The Alliance for Sustainable Building Produ



### 5 Comparison with conventional products

The environmental impacts of the prototypes have been compared against conventional insulation materials - glass wool and stone wool.

The functional unit for comparison is  $1 \text{ m}^2$  of product with an R =  $1 \text{ m}^2$ K/W, expressed in kg CO<sub>2</sub> eq for the impact category – Climate Change/Global Warming Potential (GWP).

LCA data for the conventional products has been taken from EPD data available on EcoPlatform – ref no. ECO-00000015 and ref no. ECO-00000250.

	Prototypes UK	manufactu	red in the	Prototypes France	manufac	Conventional products		
	UK1	UK2	UK3	FR1	FR2	FR3	Glass wool	Stone wool
Total GWP kg CO2 eq	6.96E-01	-2.82E-02	9.34E-02	6.47E-01	-8.38E-02	3.83E-02	1.30E+00	1.73+00
Reduction vs glass wool	46.5%	102.7%	92.8%	50.2%	106.4%	97.1%	N/A	N/A
Reduction vs stone wool	59.8%	101.6%	94.6%	62.6%	104.8%	97.8%	N/A	N/A

Table 6 - Percentage reduction in environmental impact of prototypes versus conventional materials

The results (see Table 6/Figure 6) show that all of the prototypes (with manufacturing scenarios in both the UK and France) could provide a significant reduction in their environmental impact with an average reduction of 82.6% vs glass wool and 86.9% vs stone wool.



Figure 6 - Percentage reduction in environmental impact of prototypes versus conventional materials





EUROPEAN UNION European Regional Development Fund





### Conclusion

The results show that all of the prototypes (with manufacturing scenarios in both the UK and France) could provide a significant reduction in their environmental impact with an average reduction of 82.6% vs glass wool and 86.9% vs stone wool.

The environmental impact of the BIO-CIRC prototypes compares well to the 3 prototypes (maize, wheat straw, duvet) developed during the precursor <u>SBWRC project</u>, which showed a similar reduction (35-95%, average of 63%) in environmental impact compared to conventional materials.

In the French model the inclusion of duvet cleaning adds a considerable amount of additional electricity consumption of 0.68kWh per kg of duvet fibre (twice that used in the processing). However, this only represents a limited increase in impact due to the high proportion of nuclear energy in the French grid mix. In fact, a small (1-5%) further reduction in prototype impacts is still revealed with the model for a French production with the assumption that all other aspects of production are the same.

It should be noted that this LCA study is part of a research project and the results presented are based on a prototyping scenario. Assumptions that have been made in the study are referenced within this report. Further life cycle analysis is required if the prototypes are to be manufactured at scale.







*The BIO-CIRC project is part of the cross-border European Territorial Cooperation (ETC) Programme Interreg VA France (Channel) England and benefits from financial support from the European Regional Development Fund*