Institute of Botany of ULiège (Building B22)

by Anne-Françoise Marique / 2023-01-03 15:34:31 / Belgique / 288 / FR

Heritage renovation

Primary energy need :

357 kWhpe/m².an

(Calculation method : Other)

ENERGY CONSUMPTION

Building Type : School, college, university
Construction Year : 1968
Delivery year : 2019
Address 1 - street : Chemin de la Vallée, 4 4000 Liège, Belgique
Climate zone : [Cfb] Marine Mild Winter, warm summer, no dry season.

Net Floor Area : 9 000 m² SHON (fr)
Construction/refurbishment cost : 5 360 500 €
Cost/m² : 595.61 €/m²

General information

This project won a mention "Major project - adequacy between reuse and energy performance" for the Tertiary buildings category of the 2022 Circular Buildings Trophies.

The case study concerns the energy renovation of the Institute of Botany of ULiège (Building B22). This building was designed in 1968, according to plans by Roger Bastin. It is an important witness of modernist architecture in Belgium and is listed in the Inventory of Walloon Cultural Real Estate Heritage.

After 50 years of life without major renovation, this building has been the subject of an energy renovation project aimed at ensuring its durability for decades to come and at significantly reducing its energy consumption: -75% reduction in heating consumption and - 21% of electricity consumption (excluding scientific processes). A circular approach, particularly around the issue of reuse, is central to the entire project process. In addition to the installation of re-used wood cladding (2,600m²), various construction elements were dismantled, inventoried, cleaned and re-used in the renovation project.

This project is part of the sustainable development policy of the University of Liège. It was carried out between 2015 and 2019, as part of the eeef energy renovation program which enabled ULiège to finance studies aimed at renovating 8 of these buildings, all dating from the 1960s, as well as the public lighting network of the campus. The work, financed by the University’s own funds, is now all finished.


Circular economy and reuse of materials
Lots affected by the re-use / reuse of materials

- Blanket
- Facades
- Outdoor Facilities

Materials, products and equipment reused or reused + quantity

- Installation of re-used wood cladding on the existing concrete facade (insulation with 30cm of mineral wool) > 2,600m² of cladding.
- Realization of the terrace in azobé planks coming from old docks of the Dutch ports, resold in Belgium > 140m².
- Recovery, restoration and reuse of 60/60 floor tiles for the project and on another university site > 120m².
- Recovery, restoration and reuse of metal roof cladding > 400 m².
- Rehabilitation of ventilation infrastructure > 50,000m³ of ductwork.
- Major renovation of an existing infrastructure rather than construction on a virgin site.

Origin, traceability of materials and field of use

- Siding - purchase via local supplier, materials from old farms in Eastern Europe.
- Azobé - purchase via local supplier, origin = Netherlands (short distances from the construction site).
- Floor tiles - materials recovered in situ.
- Metal cladding - materials recovered in situ.
- Sheathing - are part of the building.

Details of reusable materials

The use of reused materials external to the construction site:

- Barnwood type cladding from Eastern Europe, collected and distributed in Austria, resold in Belgium (Biemar Bois), implemented by the company Moury (subcontractor GROVEN Portal) for the construction of new facade cladding (2,600 m²);
- Azobé planks from former Dutch port docks, resold in Belgium (Biemar wood) for the construction of an outdoor terrace (140m²);

The reuse of materials from in situ deconstruction:

- Metal roof cladding (400 m²);
- 60/60 concrete slabs in floor layout (120 m²);

Rehabilitation of existing infrastructure:

- Recommissioning of an old ventilation unit shut down 20 years earlier: 50,000 m³ ventilation network restored from the old sheathings.

Reversibility / adaptability

The method of laying the reused wood cladding favored assemblies (no gluing) in order to facilitate subsequent maintenance, but also to allow reversibility of the intervention at the end of its lifespan.

In such a project, it is a question of starting from the material to go towards the development of an architectural proposal – and not the reverse. It is the material that dictates the composition: the lack of mastery of the material (different widths and thicknesses, varied renderings, etc.) means thinking about the project while guaranteeing flexibility of implementation at all times. This design process is not new. But, since the 19th century at least, this relationship has generally been reversed, favoring architectural design, to which matter must comply. Giving matter back its own logic of implementation also means giving it back its dignity. It is also a way of limiting the waste linked to the implementation. In the case of the Botanical Institute, the layout design complied with the specificities of the reuse boards, by following the following points:

- Siding installed vertically ensures that the material holds up well over time (faster rinsing of rainwater).
- The cladding interspersed with horizontal slats arranged at several spacings gives more regularity to the whole and avoids having to assemble heterogeneous boards end to end – which facilitates the work of implementation.
- By varying the dimension of the center distances, it was also possible to work with more heterogeneous elements, and in particular production offcuts, which were thus able to find a place in the composition.
- The horizontal lines that emerge from the composition also recall the traces of the formwork levels of the initial concrete.

Replicability and Innovation

The operation was designed specifically for the building to be renovated, with a view to respecting the heritage character and the original characteristics of the building. The initiative for re-employment procedures was carried out, from the outset, by the University of Liége, as Project Owner and Project Manager for this project, which is a facilitating element. The university’s project managers then managed to convince the various project stakeholders (Authorities, financial services, companies, etc.).

The operation is presented on the OPALIS platform within the framework of the European FCRBE project in a desire to share experience and replicability, also to show the real, financial and operational feasibility of this type of approach.

The operation is replicable in itself (installation of a cladding of reused wood) but would lose in our sense of its interest in the heritage context which concerns us if it is reproduced identically without attention to context. We did not choose reused wood only for the architectural and heritage part developed for this project. This choice of reuse was not arbitrary – do reuse for reuse. It was underpinned by a global architectural project which aimed to highlight the specific qualities of a material in the context of its use.
The reflections focused on different aspects:

- **The texture**: the texture of reused wood, strongly veined, is the inverse imprint of the texture of the original concrete. An analogy is created between the initial texture (still present inside the building) and the new facade cladding.
- **Lack of control**: at the time of construction of the building, the aesthetic quality of the concrete was relatively random (traces of poor workmanship, differences in color, etc.). This diversity, the result of on-site production, disappeared when the building was painted in the early 2000s; while it contributes, as much as the texture, to the brutalist character of the building. The reused wood thus makes it possible to reinject into the building a material of which the architect does not control all the aesthetic aspects.
- **The age value** (according to the Austrian art historian Alois Riegl): this 50-year-old building has a patina, the mark of the passage of time. The choice of a reusable material, itself patinated, furrowed, attacked… makes it possible to avoid the effect of a facelift and to preserve the building’s dignity of its age.
- **Sustainability**: the objective is to complement energy sustainability (reduction of consumption and greenhouse gas emissions) with a deeper reflection on the durability of materials, with the intention of avoiding the overconsumption of resources and their looting.

The operations of inventorying, storage, cleaning and reuse, in the project or on site, of materials whose condition allows it is highly replicable, in particular within the framework of the buildings of the University of Liège, many of which date back from the 60s and 70s and have been designed in healthy, natural, quality materials, with a very good longevity. For example, the building’s natural stone flooring is original and has not been modified due to its very good condition and very good resistance to time.

**Storage of deposited materials and management of ex situ reuse**

On-site storage of dismantled, cleaned and reused elements.

Constitution of a stock of slabs in the reserves of the university for use in other projects.

The scale of the project also made the continuous supply of materials on site more complex. No stock of 2,600m² being available as such on the re-employment market, it was necessary to sequence the supply in batches of +/- 400 m², with all the risks inherent in discontinuous arrivals. The schedule had to be revised several times. The intelligence of the company’s site managers and the Contracting Authority was an asset because it made it possible to move from a linear schedule (phase after phase) to a horizontal schedule where several phases overlapped in order to guarantee, at any time, work for the teams and a construction deadline in accordance with the specifications. The budget was completed in compliance with contractual budgets and schedules.

**Economic balance sheet**

Total cost of reusing materials: €520,000

% reuse on total cost of the operation: 10%

**Social and solidarity economy**

The materials were purchased and implemented by a local company.

Our specifications for works contracts impose a limitation of subcontracting to two levels and the signing by companies of a charter against social dumping.

Subcontractors and suppliers are mostly local.

**Building users opinion**

User feedback is positive. Winter cold problems are solved. The heaters hardly work anymore and the comfort of the building is improved.

The construction phase had been more complex, because the building remained occupied during the works (research activities, teaching, material impossibility of emptying the building for 1.5 years), which involved a lot of nuisances (cold during the opening of facades, noise, dust).

**If you had to do it again?**

The project went very well overall, thanks in particular to the good collaboration of all the project stakeholders. If it were to be redone, two points would need to be improved: (1) finding buffer rooms in another building to spare the occupants during the work and (2) carrying out (which the program deadlines did not always allow) overall renovation/upgrading of the building (partial lighting, refreshment of interior finishes, etc.).

**See more details about this project**

https://opalis.eu/fr/projets/l'institut-de-botanique-de-lulig
https://dox.uliege.be/index.php/s/IRrin1Dv3bGsDtsF

**Data reliability**

Self-declared

**Photo credit**

Samuel Defourny for photos B22 (1), B22 (2) and B22 (3); ULiège for others

**Stakeholders**

**Contractor**
**Name:** ULiège (Patrimoine de l'Université de Liège)  
**Contact:** Pierre WOLPER, Recteur et Anne GIRIN Administrateur, Anne-Françoise MARIQUE - afmarique@uliege.be - 32477192484  
[http://www.uliege.be](http://www.uliege.be)

**Construction Manager**  
**Name:** ULiège (Patrimoine de l'Université de Liège) - Administration des Ressources Immobilières  
**Contact:** Anne-Françoise MARIQUE et Michel PREGARDIEN - mpregardien@uliege.be - 32478441041  
[http://www.uliege.be](http://www.uliege.be)

**Stakeholders**  
**Function:** Construction company  
Les Entreprises Gilles MOURY sa  
Gwadamère GERARD GSM: 0491/71.27.67 ggerard@moury-construct.be  
[https://www.moury-construct.be/](https://www.moury-construct.be/)

**Function:** Thermal consultancy agency  
TEEN CONSULTING  
Vincent KOWALCZYK  
[https://www.teenconsulting.be/](https://www.teenconsulting.be/)

**Contracting method**  
General Contractor

**Energy**

**Energy consumption**

- **Primary energy need:** 357,00 kWhep/m².an  
- **Calculation method:** Other  
- **Final Energy:** 107,00 kWhet/m².an  
- **Breakdown for energy consumption:**  
  - **In FINAL energy**  
    - BEFORE WORK: 804MWh/year in heating and 965MWh/year in electricity (-75%)  
    - AFTER WORK: 201MWh/year in heating and 765MWh/year in electricity (-21%, excluding scientific processes)

**More information:**
The audit and the technical studies led to a theoretical potential of -75% of heat consumption and -21% of electricity consumption. The University's energy and environment unit has carried out ad hoc measurement campaigns (before COVID) which confirm the savings. The installation of meters should be done soon to allow precise monitoring of consumption.

**Real final energy consumption**

- **Real final energy consumption/m²:** 107,00 kWhel/m².an  
- **Year of the real energy consumption:** 2019

**Envelope performance**

**More information:**
insulation of the walls with 30cm of mineral wool, replacement of frames and glazing (Ug = 0.6 w/m².K), insulation of the roof (12cm PU) and insulation of the floor slabs.

**Indicator:**

**Renewables & systems**
Systems

Heating system:
- Combined Heat and Power

Hot water system:
- Individual electric boiler

Cooling system:
- No cooling system

Ventilation system:
- Nocturnal Over ventilation
- Double flow heat exchanger

Renewable systems:
- Solar photovoltaic
- Biomass boiler

Other information on HVAC:
Heat recovery (glycol water) - night cooling to avoid the use of a cooling unit.
Installation of photovoltaic panels on the roof + heating of the building by cogeneration pellets (centralized boiler room) on the Sart Tilman campus.

Environment

Risks

Risks measures put in place:
not applicable

Urban environment

Building B22 is located on the Sart Tilman campus of ULiège, of which more than 250 hectares are classified as a nature reserve. This campus was developed in the 1960s in order to preserve the forest massif and the natural area from real estate pressure and prevent the development of housing estates of 4 facade houses. A coordinating plan was produced by Claude Strebelle and still serves as guidelines for the development of the campus.

The campus covers nearly 760 hectares, a third of which is classified as a nature reserve.

Products

Product

Reused wood (facade cladding)

Product category: Structural work / Structure - Masonry - Facade
Reused wood cladding - planks from old barns in Eastern Europe.

This type of project, on a large scale moreover, leads to fears as to the durability of the materials, their reception by the users, the ten-year guarantees, the conditions (temporal and financial) of implementation... The company, for lack of knowledge of this subject and familiarity with reuse practices, initially showed a form of reluctance (without however directly opposing the proposal). Here again, it is up to the author of the project to provide the arguments as to the technical feasibility of the file and its aesthetic qualities. A sustained and in-depth study of the entire project upstream obviously puts the company in the best possible position. Most of the questions raised can thus be anticipated. The technical qualities of the managers and their experience are also crucial in the constructive dialogue that ensues.

60/60 floor tiles and metal roof cladding

Matériaux existants sur site

Product category: Finishing work / flooring
Very good acceptance of the solution.
AZOBE decking boards

**Product category:**
Realization of an outdoor terrace in reused azobe boards (former Dutch docks).

Very good, but substantial work to level the terrace (planks of different thicknesses) by the company.

## Costs

### Construction and exploitation costs

- **Renewable energy systems cost:** 68 000,00 €
- **Cost of studies:** 56 000 €
- **Total cost of the building:** 5 426 000 €
- **Subsidies:** 30 000 €

**Additional information on costs:**
The preliminary technical studies (energy audit, stability, re-use study and LCA) were financed by the eeeef program for energy renovation. The work was financed by ULiège in its own funds. A financial model (Net Present value) has been developed to demonstrate the realism of the proposal and the return on investment times, integrating the evolution of energy costs and the maintenance work avoided thanks to the project. The entire project (studies, authorizations, specifications, site monitoring) was carried out by an internal team from the university's technical services (excluding stability and health and safety coordination).

## Circular Economy

### Reuse : same function or different function

**Batches concerned by reuse:**
- Roofing
- Facades
- Landscaping

**For each batch : Reused Materials / Products / Equipments :**
- Implementation of reused wood cladding on the existing concrete facade (insulation with 30cm of mineral wool) > 2,600m² of cladding.
- Realization of the terrace in azobé planks from old Dutch port docks, resold in Belgium > 140m².
- Recovery, restoration and reuse of 60/60 floor tiles for the project and on another university site > 120m².
- Recovery, restoration and reuse of metal roof cladding > 400 m².
- Rehabilitation of ventilation infrastructure > 50,000m³ of ductwork.

**Field of use and material origin :**
- siding - purchase via local supplier, materials from old farms in Eastern Europe.
- Azobé - purchase via local supplier, origin = Netherlands (short distances from the construction site).
- Floor tiles - materials recovered in situ.
- Metal cladding - materials recovered in situ.
- Sheathing - are part of the building.

## Environmental assessment

**Impacts avoided : water, waste, CO2 :**

**Avoided Water Consumption (m3)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>CO2 avoided (kg)</th>
<th>Water consumption avoided (m3)</th>
<th>Waste avoided (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Facilities</td>
<td>5973.738</td>
<td>26.710896</td>
<td>14827.1724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscaping / Locksmithing / Metalwork</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partitions</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blanket</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The reuse operation saved the equivalent of 347,633 kilometers traveled by a small car, i.e. 395 Paris-Nice journeys, 59222 rectangular bathtubs filled with water and 82 years of household waste from a Frenchman.

Economic assessment

Total cost of reuse : 520 000 €
Cost of reuse in percentage of the operation : 10 %

Social economy

Social economy and professional integration :
The materials were purchased and implemented by a local company.

Our specifications for works contracts impose a limitation of subcontracting to two levels and the signing by companies of a charter against social dumping.

Subcontractors and suppliers are mostly local.

Reproductibility and Innovation

The operation was designed specifically for the building to be renovated, with a view to respecting the heritage character and the original characteristics of the building. The initiative for re-employment procedures was carried out, from the outset, by the University of Liège, as Project Owner and Project Manager for this project, which is a facilitating element. The university’s project managers then managed to convince the various project stakeholders (Authorities, financial services, businesses, etc.).

The operation is presented on the OPALIS platform within the framework of the European FCRBE project in a desire to share experience and replicability, also to show the real, financial and operational feasibility of this type of approach.

The operation is replicable in itself (installation of reused wood cladding) but would lose in our sense of its interest in the heritage context that concerns us if it is reproduced identically without paying attention to the context. We did not choose reused wood only for the architectural and heritage part developed for this project. This choice of reuse was not arbitrary – do reuse for reuse. It was underpinned by a global architectural project which aimed to highlight the specific qualities of a material in the context of its use.

The reflections focused on different aspects:
**The texture**: the texture of reused wood, heavily veined, is the opposite imprint of the texture of the original concrete. An analogy is created between the initial texture (still present inside the building) and the new facade cladding.

**Lack of control**: at the time of construction of the building, the aesthetic quality of the concrete was relatively random (traces of poor workmanship, differences in color, etc.). This diversity, the result of on-site production, disappeared when the building was painted in the early 2000s; while it contributes, as much as the texture, to the brutalist character of the building. The reused wood thus makes it possible to reinject into the building a material of which the architect does not control all the aesthetic aspects.

**The age value** (according to the Austrian art historian Alois Riegl): this 50-year-old building has a patina, the mark of the passage of time. The choice of a reusable material, itself patinated, furrowed, attacked... makes it possible to avoid the effect of a facelift and to preserve the building's dignity of its age.

**Sustainability**: the objective is to complement energy sustainability (reduction of consumption and greenhouse gas emissions) with a deeper reflection on the durability of materials, with the intention of avoiding the overconsumption of resources and their looting.

The operations of inventorying, storage, cleaning and reuse, in the project or on site, of the materials whose state allows it is highly replicable, in particular within the framework of the buildings of the university of Liege of which many date from the 60s and 70s and have been designed in healthy, natural, quality materials, with a very good longevity. For example, the building's natural stone flooring is original and has not been modified due to its very good condition and very good resistance to time.

**Storage of dismantled materials and management in situ reused materials**:

- On-site storage of dismantled, cleaned and reused elements.
- Constitution of a stock of slabs in the reserves of the university for use in other projects.

The scale of the project also made the continuous supply of materials on site more complex. No stock of 2,600m² being available as such on the re-employment market, it was necessary to sequence the supply in batches of +/- 400 m², with all the risks inherent in discontinuous arrivals. The schedule had to be revised several times. The intelligence of the company's site managers and the Contracting Authority was an asset because it made it possible to move from a linear schedule (phase after phase) to a horizontal schedule where several phases overlapped in order to guarantee, at any time, work for the teams and a construction deadline in accordance with the specifications. The budget was completed in compliance with contractual budgets and schedules.

### Health and comfort

#### Indoor Air quality

Ventilation system sized at 900 ppm to guarantee indoor air quality - CO2 probes.

#### Comfort

- **Health & comfort**: Healthy, raw, minimally processed materials.
- **Modes of poses favoring assemblies rather than collages**.
- **Acoustic comfort**: Not applicable other than the redevelopment of a lobby and student/exhibition space where acoustic wall panels have been incorporated into the design.

### Carbon

#### GHG emissions

- **GHG in use**: 39.00 KgCO₂/m²/an
- **GHG before use**: 53.00 KgCO₂/m²
- **Building lifetime**: 50.00 an(s)

Consumption in MWh multiplied by a coefficient in kgCO₂/MWH according to the energy vector (coefficient of the CWAPE)

### Life Cycle Analysis

One-off LCA carried out to compare different choices of exterior cladding.

- **Eco-design material**:
  - reused wood cladding
  - mineral wool
  - reuse of original materials
**Reasons for participating in the competition(s)**

L’opération de rénovation énergétique de l’Institut de Botanique nous paraît exemplaire par sa démarche intégrée de durabilité et de circularité à plusieurs niveaux :

- La rénovation et le réinvestissement dans le stock bâti existant, en lieu et place d’une nouvelle construction en site vierge, s’inscrit dans une démarche forte de développement durable et de réemploi des infrastructures existantes. La littérature scientifique a en outre mis en évidence le bénéfice environnemental d’une rénovation lourde par rapport à des opérations de démolition / reconstruction.
- Le projet allie hautes performances énergétiques et environnementales avec le respect du caractère patrimonial du bâtiment, témoin de l’architecture moderniste des années 60. Le projet a été récompensé à ce titre par le prix de l’architecture et de l’urbanisme de la Ville de Liège.
- Les performances énergétiques (confirmées par des campagnes de mesures) sont largement améliorées : -75% des consommations de chauffage, -21% des consommations électricques (hors process scientifiques).
- Le réemploi et la réutilisation ont été au cœur de la démarche depuis sa conception (notamment analyse en cycle de vie) ce qui a permis de mettre en œuvre un bardage en bois de réemploi de 2.600m² mais aussi de restaurer et réutiliser, in situ ou sur d’autres chantiers de l’université, certains matériaux d’origine. Les réseaux techniques (ventilation) ont aussi été partiellement réutilisés.
- Le projet s’inscrit dans le cadre plus large d’un programme de rénovation énergétique des infrastructures de l’ULiège. Forte de cette expérience, une deuxième vague de rénovation énergétique va débuter (dans le cadre du plan de relance de la Fédération Wallonie-Bruxelles).
- Le projet s’est concrétisé grâce à l’intégration, la communication, la collaboration et à la mobilisation de tous les acteurs (architectes, maître d’ouvrage, entreprises, représentants des utilisateurs).
- Les travaux se sont réalisés dans un bâtiment occupé.
- Les budgets et plannings ont été parfaitement respectés grâce à une gestion technique et administrative précise et rigoureuse.

**Building candidate in the category**

Prix du public

---

Date Export : 20230328145346